

Minutes from January 15 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola

IBM: Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Steve Millidge

Red Hat: (not present)

Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Hafael

Martijn Verburg

Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike Milinkovich

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

The January 8 Meeting Minutes were approved.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1HFuUzROQICSsk8LZNaT03KkqyBAenSF9N8_r5vTIHQo/edit?usp=sharing

Updates on work for Eclipse GlassFish release

Goal for GA announcement: Eclipse GlassFish 5.1 passes updated Java EE 8 CTS 100%.

Eclipse GlassFish 5.1:

- *Built at EE4J*
- *All GlassFish components formerly built from Oracle sources are built from EE4J sources that Oracle has contributed to EE4J.*

Updated Java EE 8 CTS:

- *Java EE 8 CTS binary tests executed on Oracle infrastructure.*
- *Java EE 8 CTS binary tests that are verified to pass 100% on the latest Java EE 8 RI.*
- *The latest Java EE 8 RI is Oracle GlassFish 5.0.1, containing fixes also included in EE4J sources.*

Steering Committee confirmed in the Jan 8 meeting that Tuesday Jan 29, 2019 will be the formal Release Date for Eclipse GlassFish 5.1.0, and readiness for their teams to support this date. The software will be available for download NLT than Monday Jan 28, 2019.

Updated Status from Dmitry:

1. We have green CTS run!!!

2. Public releases of artifacts

<https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/9>

We found some minor discrepancies in artifacts versions. Some components will require re-staging/re-releasing.

3. We are planning to release GlassFish 5.1 RC2 next week. Planned date is Jan 22, 2019. We will run CTS on this RC2 release and verify that it passes, and/or update as required.

This version should have dependencies only on artifacts in Maven Central.

4. Unfinished CQs:

CQ 18274 IP Log for Eclipse Metro (ee4j.metro) 2.4.3. Related to IBM JDK usage in Metro. Negotiation with Eclipse is in progress. Currently

CQ 18532 Apache Shale Remoting Version: 1.0.3

CQ 18535 scriptaculous Version: 1.9.0

CQ 18574 jsf-extensions-dynamicfaces Version:0.1

All CQs are on Eclipse IP team side.

5. Opened bug for tracking OSSRH->Nexus Pro decision.

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543462

Planning for the Eclipse GlassFish announcements on Jan 29:

Tracking document for this announcement activity is given below.

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1RjZMySf_dLkBhQu1wl8KgKml8BZLtDOWFQXbMW_d-Zg/edit#gid=0

The announcement will be on Jan 29, even if the download bits are available sooner.

All Working Group members are requested to complete this document and proactively drive support for the announcement through their marketing orgs.

Legal Documents

Trademark Licensing Agreement

An updated Trademark License Agreement, reflecting the discussion from last week (see meeting minutes from Jan 8 Steering Committee meeting) has been drafted and is being reviewed within Oracle.

Per last discussion the agreement will not license the use of "Java" in specification names, and will not license the use of certain "J" acronyms (e.g. JDK). That list of acronyms is not final - it will be communicated to Eclipse when the draft is ready.

At the last meeting, we discussed a naming convention to be used for specifications. We should continue that discussion, see email feedback on the spreadsheet forwarded from Kevin below:

- I thought the "for Java" phrase was okay to use. Isn't that how we got approval for Eclipse Enterprise for Java (EE4J)? Assuming that is still okay, then there are few items that should not change to Jakarta:
 - Jakarta APIs for XML Messaging 1.0: SOAP with Attachments API for Java
 - Common Annotations for the Java Platform (does the word "the" throw off this rule?)
 - Contexts and Dependency Injection for Java 2.0
 - Web Services Metadata for the Java Platform (again, the word "the")
 - Dependency Injection for Java
 - Batch Applications for the Java Platform (again, the word "the")
- Remove some of the acronyms from the new titles. Looks kind of ugly and it's confusing.
 - Jakarta API for XML-based Web Services 2.2 (remove jax-ws or any variation)
 - Jakarta API for RESTful Web Services 2.1 (remove jax-rs or any variation)
- Although JavaBeans is trademarked, we are just promoting and extending the JavaBeans technology. Couldn't we just recognize that TM and continue to use it?
 - Enterprise JavaBeans 3.1
 - JavaBeans Activation Framework 1.1
- Enterprise JavaBeans is repeated twice (rows 6 and 35)

The group prefers to start with the following naming conventions, and evaluate, unless there is a plan to the format of the spec name.

- Jakarta APIs for XML Messaging 1.0: SOAP with Attachments API for Java
- Common Annotations for Java
- Contexts and Dependency Injection for Java 2.0

- Web Services Metadata for Java
- Dependency Injection for Java
- Batch Applications for Java

Comments from Mike:

- In Jakarta, Jakarta can be used as a leading term, and the EF would prefer to use Jakarta as a leading term.
- Is there a reason why the specifications have versions? (Some specs have different versions.) The EF approach is that spec name will not contain a version.
- EF does not believe “Jakarta” should be concatenated to names, e.g. it should not be “JakartaServer Faces” but rather “Jakarta Server Faces”.

Tanja will produce a proposed list of spec names from the xls.

Marketing Committee Update

From Tanja:

- Town Hall, January 30th - panel participation needed, I have heard from Kevin Sutter (Dmitry, David, Ivar have also volunteered since then). Dan will attempt to participate.
- Jakarta EE 8 release, we'd like to ensure we are all on the same page on what our expectations are for the Jakarta EE 8 release. Here is what we have to start off the conversation

Assumption: Jakarta EE 8 == Java EE 8 ie. no additional specifications, API, behavioral changes or new profiles.

Jakarta EE 8 release

Will consist of the following:

- Specification (documents and APIs) for the full platform including full and web profiles
- TCKs (source and binaries); part of the TCKs are documents on how to use TCKs
 - The Jakarta EE 8 will not attempt refactoring of the current monolith of the Java EE 8 TCK
 - Specifications that already have a separate TCK will remain as is
- A Compatible Implementation of the full and web profiles, at least one, available under open source license;

Required for the release:

Jakarta EE Specification Process needs to be completed
Jakarta EE TCK process needs to be defined
Means to publish Final Specifications and related TCKs
Branding process and logo usage guidelines
Distribution of the TCK (added)

The group agreed on the description and the requirements of the release. Tanja and the EF staff will use this list to begin driving a plan and action items for a Jakarta EE 8 release.

Jakarta Summit

See email from Paul White.

Please reply to Paul with feedback and willingness to sponsor.

Update on Participation Agreements

IBM, Red Hat, Payara have signed the Participation Agreement.

Tomitribe requested help in reaching Apache on this topic.

Need a signed Member Committer Agreement (as well) to move the Spec Process forward. Paul will send a reminder e-mail.

Bootstrapping Specification Projects

Will have an update next week.